

# \*NAIP Web delivery?

John Welter, NWG

- \* Most spatial data is exchanged using a standard file format (tiff, jp2k, sid, etc)
- \* Most users don't want the pre-determined tiling scheme:
  - \* clip, zip and ship
  - \* CCM's versus DOQQ's a good example
- \* Local storage on client side of some type:
  - \* Cost (capex/opex)
  - \* Multiple copies to manage
  - \* Manage versioning across multiple sites
- \* More time spent managing data than exploiting it
- \* Big barrier to new opportunities

\* Why?

- \* Short term versus lifetime delivery model?
  - \* Contractor short term - few months?
  - \* Then transition to long term by USDA?
- \* What products to host?
  - \* Compression, 3+3 versus 4 bands, etc?
- \* What level of SLA needed?

\* How?

\* Geospatial industry standards come from:

- \* Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC)
- \* International Standards Organization (ISO)  
especially TC 211

\* Standards achieve interoperability by allowing data from multiple systems to be taken into the same application

\* Standards relevant to the NAIP Program:

- \* Web Map Service(WMS)
- \* Web Coverage Service(WCS)
- \* Catalog Service (CSW) (?)

\* **STANDARDS**

- \* OGC/ISO web standard to produce and consume a two-dimensional map of spatially referenced data
- \* Most successful OGC standard with more than 300 implementations
- \* can be used to portray not only imagery but also vector and terrain data.
- \* Not suited to 3D clients since it does not provide enough view/portrayal control

## \* WMS: Web Map Service

- \* supports the exchange of raw geospatial data as "coverages" that are bound in space and time without the need to apply portrayal
- \* No practical clients that consume WCS
- \* Lacks detailed control for level of detail and field of view calculations required for 3D visualization

## \*WCS: Web Coverage Service